

ADVANCED DISABILITY ADVOCATES
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3010 Wilshire Blvd. #516
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Telephone: (310) 926-2519
Facsimile: (310) 634-1258
adadvocates@gmail.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
PEDRO JIMENEZ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PEDRO JIMENEZ,
Plaintiff,

vs.

THE BURNT TORTILLA; and DOES 1
through 10 inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES;
CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT; CALIFORNIA'S
DISABLED PERSONS ACT;
CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE; NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff PEDRO JIMENEZ ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants THE BURNT
TORTILLA; and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all
4 times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for THE BURNT
7 TORTILLA ("Business") located at or about 1435 W. Redondo Beach Blvd., Gardena,
8 California.

9 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
10 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
11 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
12 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
13 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
14 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
15 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

16 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
17 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
18 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
19 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
20 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

21 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
22 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
23 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
24 and severally.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25
26 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
27 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
28 *seq.*)

1 c. Defendant failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons
2 with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards.
3 Defendants failed to provide the access aisles with level surface
4 slopes.

5 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
6 Business and caused him difficulty and frustration. The business is conveniently located
7 as Plaintiff lives about 8 miles from the Business. Plaintiff wishes to return and patronize
8 the Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his
9 knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

10 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
11 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
12 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
13 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

14 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
15 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
16 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

17 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
18 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
19 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
20 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
21 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
22 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

23 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

25 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
28 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of

1 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
2 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
3 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

4 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 5 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
6 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
7 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
8 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
9 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
10 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
11 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 12 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
13 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
14 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
15 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
16 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
17 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
18 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
19 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 20 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
21 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
22 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
23 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
24 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
25 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
26 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 27 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
28 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily

1 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
2 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
3 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
4 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
5 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
6 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
7 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
8 area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost
9 and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

10 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
11 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
12 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
13 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
14 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
15 be van parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

16 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
17 State or local laws or regulations. *See* 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
18 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
19 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
20 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
21 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
22 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
23 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
24 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
25 interior end of the parking space. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6, *et seq.*

26 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
27 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
28 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be

1 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
2 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
3 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
4 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”
5 *See* CBC § 11B-502.8, *et seq.*

6 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide signs stating “Minimum fine \$250” or
7 “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the additional sign with the
8 specific languages stating “Unauthorized vehicles parked in designated accessible spaces
9 not displaying distinguishing placards or special license plates issued for persons with
10 disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”

11 25. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
12 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
13 view of a wheel chair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36” wide
14 by 36” high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
15 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
16 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
17 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
18 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
19 occupant. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6.4, *et seq.*

20 26. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on
21 the surface as required.

22 27. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
23 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
24 Parking spaces and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50
25 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3. Under the 2010 Standards, access aisles
26 shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve. Changes in level are not
27 permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access aisles are required to be nearly level in all
28

1 directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles.” 2010 Standards § 502.4
2 Advisory. *Id.* No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4.

3 28. Here, Defendants failed to provide the access aisles that were level with the
4 parking spaces.

5 29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
6 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
7 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

8 30. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
9 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the ADA and its related
10 regulations.

11 31. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
12 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
13 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
14 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
15 by individuals with disabilities.

16 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

18 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 33. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this
21 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
22 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
23 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
24 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
25 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

26 34. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
27 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
28 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be

1 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
 2 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
 3 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
 4 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

5 35. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, "a violation of the right of any
 6 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
 7 shall also constitute a violation of this section."

8 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
 9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
 10 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
 11 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
 12 51 and 52.

13 37. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
 14 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
 15 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

16 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

18 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 39. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, "Individuals with disabilities shall be
 21 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
 22 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
 23 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
 24 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
 25 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
 26 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
 27 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
 28

1 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
2 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

3 40. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
4 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
5 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
6 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
7 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
8 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
9 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
10 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
11 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

12 41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
13 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
14 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
15 the access of any person in violation of that act.

16 42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
17 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
18 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
19 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

20 43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
21 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
22 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

23 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

25 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
28 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each

1 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
2 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
3 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

4 46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
5 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
6 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
7 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
8 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
9 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
10 persons with disabilities.

11 47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
12 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
13 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
14 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
15 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
16 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
17 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
18 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

19 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **NEGLIGENCE**

21 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
24 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
25 to the Plaintiff.

26 50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
27 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.
28

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;
4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: April 29, 2018

ADVANCED DISABILITY ADVOCATES

By: /s/ Kevin Hong
Kevin Hong, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff